



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/533,556	02/24/1999	Peter F. King	3399P087C	6907
26529	7590 .04/05/2004		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN/PDC 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD			NGUYEN, MERILYN P	
SEVENTH F			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGEI	LES, CA 90025		2171	12
			DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
	09/533,556	KING ET AL.	V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Merilyn P Nguyen	2171	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTI tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	2 December 2003.		
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-71</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>40-71</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 February 1999 is	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob	ejected to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to		-	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rrection is required if the drawing(s	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum			
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	•		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Bur			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not re	ceived.	
Attachment(s)			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sur	nmany (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB. Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11</u>. 	5) Notice of Info 6) Other: <u>Detail</u>	rmal Patent Application (PTO-152) ad Action	

Application/Control Number: 09/533,556

Art Unit: 2171

DETAILED ACTION

1. In response to the communication dated 12/22/2003, claims 40-71 are active in this office action, as a result of the cancellation of claims 1-39.

Acknowledges

- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of the following items from the Applicant.
 - The applicant's amendments have been considered and made of record as Paper
 No. 10.
 - o Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed on 02/17/2004 and made of record as Papers No. 11. The references cited on the PTO 1449 form have been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 40-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Davani (US 6,208,839).

Regarding claim 40, Davani discloses a method comprising receiving at a wireless client device a network resource over a wireless network (See col. 2, lines 48-53, col. 6, lines 63-67); receiving at the wireless client device a user input applied at a user interface of the wireless client device (See col. 7, lines 1-11); generating a request at the wireless client device in response to the user input, the request including an identifier of the network resource (See col. 7, lines 45-61); and sending the request to a remote server via the wireless network to cause a set of resource locators stored in the remote server and associated with the wireless client device to be updated based on the request (See col. 7, lines 62-67), the set of stored resource locators being accessible to the wireless client device via the wireless network to enable a user of the wireless client device to select any of the stored resource locators to generate a request for a corresponding network resource (See Figs. 4, 5, and 6, and also col. 7, lines 31-36).

Regarding claim 41, Davani discloses the resource locators are Uniform Resource Locators (URLs) (See col. 7, lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 42, Davani discloses the identifier is appended to a network locator of the remote server in the request (See col. 7, lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 43, Davani discloses at least one of the stored resource locators has been previously added to the set of stored resource locators by a user of the wireless client device (See col. 7 lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 44, Davani discloses the request comprises an add request to cause the set of stored resource locators to be updated by adding the identifier to the set of stored resource locators (See col. 7, lines 26-36 and 51-61).

Regarding claim 45, Davani discloses the request comprises a delete request to cause the set of stored resource locators to be updated by deleting the identifier from the set of stored resource locators (See col. 7, lines 26-36).

Regarding claim 46, Davani discloses including in the request a state variable used by the wireless client device (See Col. 7, lines 37-44).

Regarding claim 47, Davani discloses the state variable represents user input received at the wireless client device (See col. 7,lines 24-31).

Regarding claim 48, Davani discloses a method comprising: receiving at a wireless client device a set of identifiers via a wireless network, each of the identifiers corresponding to a separate resource locator in a set of stored resource locators associated with the wireless client device in a remote server (See col. 7, lines 50-56); receiving at the wireless client device a user input applied at a user interface of the wireless client device to select one of the identifiers (See col. 8, lines 19-28); generating a request at the wireless client device for a desired network resource corresponding to the selected identifier in response to the user input, the request including a parameter corresponding to the selected identifier (See col. 7, lines 26-36); sending

the request to the remote server via the wireless network (See col. 7, lines 37-44); receiving a reply to the request at the wireless client device from the remote server, the reply including a reference identifier retrieved by the remote server from the set of stored resource locators based on the parameter in the request (See col. 6, lines 19-26, and col. 8, lines 19-28), the reference identifier for use by the wireless client device in accessing the desired network resource (See col. 8, lines 19-28); and receiving the desired network resource at the wireless client device via the wireless network (See col. 8, lines 34-58).

Regarding claim 49, Davani discloses the set of resource locators comprises a plurality of Uniform Resource Locators (URLs) (See col. 7, lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 50, Davani discloses prior to said receiving at a wireless client device a set of identifiers: generating a request at the wireless client device for the set of identifiers (See col. 7, lines 51-56).

Regarding claim 51, Davani discloses the request for the set of identifiers comprises a network locator of the remote server and an argument for use in locating the set of identifiers appended to the network locator of the remote server (See col. 7, lines 1-23).

Regarding claim 52, Davani discloses at least one of the stored resource locators has been previously added to the set of stored resource locators by a user of the wireless client device (See col. 7 lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 53, Davani discloses receiving at the wireless client device a network resource over the wireless network, the network resource having an identifier; receiving at the wireless client device a second user input applied at a user interface of the wireless client device; generating a second request at the wireless client device in response to the second user input, the second request including the identifier; and sending the second request to the remote server via the wireless network to cause the set of stored resource locators to be updated based on the request as similarly addressed above in claim 40, wherein different requests are applied on this system such as the request for adding, deleting, or browsing urls.

Regarding claim 54, Davani discloses the request comprises an add request to cause the set of stored resource locators to be updated by adding the identifier to the set of stored resource locators (See col. 7, lines 26-36 and 51-61).

Regarding claim 55, Davani discloses the request comprises a delete request to cause the set of stored resource locators to be updated by deleting the identifier from the set of stored resource locators (See col. 7, lines 26-36).

Regarding claim 56, Davani discloses a method comprising: storing in a server system a set of resource locators associated with a wireless client device (See Figs. 4, 5, and 6), the set of resource locators being accessible to the wireless client device via a wireless network to enable a user of the wireless client device to select any of the stored resource locators to generate a

request for a corresponding network resource (See col. 7, lines 17-61); receiving at the server system a request from the wireless client device via the wireless network, the request including an identifier of a network resource accessed by the wireless client device (See col. 7, lines 17-36); and updating the set of stored resource locators based on the request (See col. 7, lines 31-36 and 62-67).

Regarding claim 57, Davani discloses the identifier comprises a Uniform Resource Locator (URL) (See col. 7, lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 58, Davani discloses the identifier is appended to a network identifier of the remote server in the request (See col. 7, lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 59, Davani discloses at least one of the stored resource locators has been previously added to the set of stored resource locators by a user of the wireless client device (See col. 7 lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 60, Davani discloses the request comprises an add request to cause the set of stored resource locators to be updated by adding the identifier to the set of stored resource locators (See col. 7, lines 26-36 and 51-61).

Regarding claim 61, Davani discloses the request comprises a delete request to cause the set of stored resource locators to be updated by deleting the identifier from the set of stored resource locators (See col. 7, lines 26-36).

Regarding claim 62, Davani discloses the request comprises a state variable used by the wireless client device (See Col. 7, lines 37-44).

Regarding claim 63, Davani discloses the state variable represents user input received at the wireless client device (See col. 7,lines 24-31).

Regarding claim 64, Davani discloses a method comprising: storing in a server system a set of resource locators associated with a wireless client device (See Figs. 4, 5, and 6), the set of resource locators being accessible to the wireless client device via a wireless network to enable a user of the wireless client device to select any of the stored resource locators to initiate a request for a corresponding network resource (See col. 7, lines 17-61); receiving at the server system a request from the wireless client device via the wireless network, the request including an identifier of a network resource (See col. 7, lines 17-61); locating in the set of stored resource locators a reference identifier corresponding to the identifier in the request (See col. 7, lines 17-24); and generating a reply to the request, the reply including the reference identifier (See col. 7, lines 26-36); and sending the reply to the wireless client device via the wireless network, to enable the wireless client device to access the network resource (See col. 7, lines 50-61).

Regarding claim 65, Davani discloses the set of resource locators comprises a plurality of Uniform Resource Locators (URLs) (See col. 7, lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 66, Davani discloses at least one of the stored resource locators has been previously added to the set of stored resource locators by a user of the wireless client device (See col. 7 lines 17-23).

Regarding claim 67, Davani discloses receiving at the server system a second request from the wireless client device via the wireless network, the second request including an identifier of a network resource accessed by the wireless client device; and updating the set of stored resource locators based on the second request as similarly addressed above in claim 40, wherein different requests are applied on this system such as the request for adding, deleting, or browsing urls.

Regarding claim 68, Davani discloses the second request comprises an add request to cause the set of stored resource locators to be updated by adding the identifier to the set of stored resource locators (See col. 7, lines 26-36 and 51-61).

Regarding claim 69, Davani discloses the second request comprises a delete request to cause the set of stored resource locators to be updated by deleting the identifier from the set of stored resource locators (See col. 7, lines 26-36).

Application/Control Number: 09/533,556

Art Unit: 2171

Regarding claim 70, Davani discloses prior to said receiving at the server system a request from the wireless client device: receiving a request at the remote server for a set of identifiers representing at least part of the set of stored resource locators from the wireless client device; and sending the set of identifiers to the wireless client device via the wireless network (See col. 7, lines 50-61).

Regarding claim 71, Davani discloses the request for the set of identifiers comprises a network locator of the remote server and an argument for use in locating the set of identifiers appended to the network locator of the remote server (See col. 7, lines 1-23).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 12/22/2003 with respect to claims 40-71 have been fully considered but they are considered moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Application/Control Number: 09/533,556

Art Unit: 2171

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Merilyn P Nguyen whose telephone number is 703-305-5177.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular

communications and 703-746-7240 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

March 29, 2004

SAFET METJAHIC SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 11

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100